7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,164	09/08/2003	Juergen Schubert	237228US0	1323
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 10/16/2007 AK, MCCLELLAND MA	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			PARVINI, PEGAH	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793	
	•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		•	10/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,164	SCHUBERT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pegah Parvini	1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		ith the correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIQ SET TO EXDIDE 2 M	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Second	eptember 2007.	•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.		·			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
.*	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
,	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.0.0.	3 113(a)-(a) or (i).			
۵)(1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
	application from the International Burea					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.			
A44 U	.4(-)					
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,164

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not descriptive and cannot summarize the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 1793

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CA 2255456 (cited from Canadian Patent Office) to Siray et al.
- 5. Regarding claims 1, 13, and 27-28, Siray et al. disclose a precipitated silica having BET surface area of 351 to 600 m²/g, DBP index value of 300 to 360, density of 7 to 140 g/l, a carbon content of 1 to 8 percent (spec. page 4, lines 12-20). Furthermore, d₅₀ values disclosed in Table 3 (spec. page 12) are within 5 to 15 μm. It would have been obvious to have overlapping ranges of BET, DBP, density and carbon content values for the precipitated silica with the d₅₀ values of Table 3 motivated by the fact they are disclosed in same reference for precipitated silica.
- Regarding claim 2, Siray et al. disclose values for d_{90} , d_{10} , d_{50} in Table 3 (spec. page 12) which if used in size distribution calculations based on $[d_{90} d_{10}]/d_{50}$ would results in values in the range of 0.90-1.50 for the samples in the top table and the samples in the bottom table except for "4b, fine" (spec. page 12).
- 7. Regarding claims 3-4, Siray et al. disclose the gloss angle values for 60° and 85° for the samples in Table 3 (spec. page 12), which fall within the range of 15-25 and 50-70 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,164 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

8. Regarding claim 14, Siray et al. disclose the precipitated silica with wax having d_{90} value of 12.28, d_{50} value of 8.21, and d_{10} value of 4.66 based on Table 12 (spec. page 23); this will result in a size distribution of 0.928 based on $[d_{90} - d_{10}]/d_{50}$.

- 9. Regarding claims 15-16, Siray et al. disclose the gloss angle values for the "8b" sample for 60° and 85° as 17.3 and 42.9 or 34.4 and 67.4 respectively (spec. Table 13, page 24) wherein the silica is used in a lacquer.
- 10. Regarding claims 25 and 26, Siray et al. disclose precipitated silica with wax emulsion having d50 values of, for example, 6.26 to 9.28 (spec. Table 3).
- 11. Regarding claims 6-12 and 17-24, Siray et al. disclose precipitated silica with the properties recited in claims 1-4 and 13-16 which may be used as a matting agent in lacquer system and paints (Abstract, page 6). In addition, said precipitated silica provide a very smooth surface to the final paint coating and high transparency wile minimizing deleterious effects upon the paint rheology (spec. page 5, lines 13-17).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1793

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 5,034,207 to Kerner et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pegah Parvini whose telephone number is 571-272-2639. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER